Acta Cryst. (1956). 9, 452

The Crystal Structure of the Deuterides of Ytterbium and Europium

BY WILLIAM L. KORST AND JAMES C. WARF

Department of Chemistry, University of Southern California, Los Angeles 7, California, U.S.A.

(Received 20 December 1955)

Ytterbium and europium have been found to form deuterides which are isostructural with the hydrides of the alkaline earth metals.

Introduction

X-ray powder photographs for the hydrides of lanthanum, cerium, and praseodymium were first reported by Rossi (1934). Dreyfus-Alain & Viallard (1953) have reported such photographs for lanthanum hydride. Holley, Mulford, Ellinger, Koehler & Zachariasen (1955) have reported the results of X-ray and neutron diffraction investigations of these hydrides, and in addition those of neodymium and samarium. All of these hydrides are face-centered cubic, and their formation is accompanied by an expansion in volume. Maximum compositions approaching MH_3 are attained. It has been found in this laboratory that the deuterides of ytterbium and europium are of a different nature.

Experimental

The ytterbium metal used was obtained through the courtesy of Dr F. H. Spedding, and its preparation was described by Daane, Dennison & Spedding (1953). It reacted with deuterium relatively slowly. The reaction was carried out on a quartz helix balance in a vacuum system, and in two experiments the maximum compositions attained were YbD_{1.93} and YbD_{1.95}. A sample of somewhat lower deuterium content, which was annealed at approximately 540° C. for 8 hr. in a pyrex capillary, gave the most sharply defined pattern, and this sample was used for the structural determination.

Europium metal, prepared through the courtesy of Dr Spedding from salts graciously loaned by Mrs Herbert N. McCoy, was found to react similarly, attaining a maximum composition of $EuD_{1.95}$, as measured using a quartz fiber torsion balance.

Structure

The X-ray powder photograph for ytterbium deuteride was found to be similar to those for the alkaline earth metal hydrides (Zintl & Harder, 1935a). The same orthorhombic structure was assumed, and the lines were indexed considering the space group as Pnma, with the origin at $\overline{1}$. With the metal atoms in positions 4(c), with x = 0.240 and z = 0.110, and provisional values of the reciprocal axial lengths, the intensities of all possible lines were calculated from the expression

$$Ilpha |F|^2 p \, rac{1 + \cos^2 2 heta}{\sin^2 heta \, \cos heta}$$

and indices were assigned to the lines observed. Some of the stronger lines of the body-centered cubic form of Yb_2O_3 were also present (Bommer, 1939). The axial lengths were determined by least squares to be as follows:

a = 5.871, b = 3.561, c = 6.763 Å, each ± 0.005 Å.

(λ for Cu K α , 1.5418 Å; for lines with $\theta > 60^{\circ}$, λ for Cu K α_1 , 1.5405 Å.)

The diameter of the europium deuteride sample was rather large, and few lines were observed at small values of θ using Cu radiation, because of absorption. More lines having smaller indices could be read on a film prepared using Mo radiation, though fewer lines could be read on the entire film than in the case of ytterbium deuteride, and accuracy of the cell constants is much less. These were found, using least squares, to be

$$a = 6.21, b = 3.77, c = 7.16$$
 Å, each ± 0.02 Å.

 $(\lambda \text{ for Mo } K\alpha, 0.7107 \text{ Å.})$

Observed and calculated diffraction data for the two deuterides are presented in Table 1. Lines not observed have been omitted.

Discussion

The formation of dihydrides by ytterbium and europium is doubtless related to their electronic configurations, which make it possible for both these elements to exhibit divalent character in many compounds, besides the more stable trivalent character common to all the rare earth elements.

In contrast to the other rare earth metals, ytterbium and europium undergo contractions in volume in forming the deuterides, of 13.5 and 12.5%, respectively. That the structure of these deuterides is the same as that of the alkaline earth metal hydrides also suggests that they may be predominantly ionic in character, rather than interstitial. This suggestion is further supported by the radii calculations which follow.

Zintl & Harder (1935*a*) calculated the average Sr-H distance to be 2.56 Å, having placed hydride ions in the largest holes. Use of Goldschmidt's value of 1.27 Å for the radius of Sr⁺⁺ gave the radius of hydride ion as 1.29 Å. A similar calculation for metal-deuterium distances, and use of a value 0.01 Å smaller for the deuteride ion (Zintl & Harder, 1935*b*), gives radii for Yb⁺⁺ and Eu⁺⁺ of 1.07 and 1.21 Å, respectively. Senff & Klemm (1939) have stated that Yb⁺⁺ should be considered as having approximately the same radius as Ca⁺⁺, namely Goldschmidt's value of 1.06 Å, on

the basis of measurements of the lattice constants of the isostructural selenides and tellurides. Beck & Nowacki (1938) found EuS to be isostructural with the alkaline earth metal sulfides, with a cell dimension which gave the radius of Eu⁺⁺ as 1.24 Å. This was confirmed by Klemm & Senff (1939), who studied the selenide and telluride, as well as the sulfide, and stated that the radius of Eu⁺⁺ was approximately 0.03 Å less than that of Sr⁺⁺.

A complete report of our investigations of rare earth hydrides will be published elsewhere. These investigations were made in part under an Office of Naval Research grant, and one of the authors (W. L. K.) was the recipient of a U.S. Atomic Energy Commission pre-doctoral fellowship (1951-3). The authors wish to thank Dr Jerry Donohue for helpful discussions.

Table 1. Observed and calculated diffraction data

hkl		Ytterbium deu	ıteride	Europium deuteride			
	$(\sin^2 \theta)_o$	$(\sin^2 \theta)_c$	Io	Ic	$\overline{I_o}$	$(\sin^2 \theta)_o$	$(\sin^2 \theta)_c$
011	0.0606	0.0599	m	480	m	0.01197	0.01135
200 102	0.0701	{ 0.0690 0.0692	vs	∫ 480) 945	vs	0.01349	$\begin{cases} 0.01312 \\ 0.01314 \end{cases}$
ĩĩ	0.0779	0.0771	8	1000	8	0.01510	0.01463
211	0.1296	0.1288	m	326	w	0.02489	0.02447
013	0.1650	0.1638	m	214			
113	0.1823	0.1811	w	119		0.00510	(0.03435
020	0.1884	0.1875	w	111	m	0.03516	0.03555
302	0.0000	(0.2072		f 180			0.03937
004	0.2086	0.2079	m	85	w	0.03947	0.03943
311	0.2156	0.2150	m	208		<u> </u>	(• • • • • • •
104	0.2262	0.2252	vvw	23		_	
213	0.2339	0.2328	m	242	vw	0.04474	0.04419
220	0.0550	(0.2564		(5		0.04000	(0.04867
122	0.2573	0.2567	8	260	8	0.04862	0.04869
400	0.0550	0.2759) 56		0.05919	0.05247
204	0.2778	0.2769	m	102	w	0.09313	(0.05255
313	0.3192	0.3190	w	4 2			<u> </u>
411	0.3355	0.3357	w	66			
015	0.0500	(0.3717		∫ 7			
223	0.3122	0.3734	vow	2			—
115	0.3891	`0 ∙3890	m	121			
322	0.2059	∫ 0.3946		∫ 123		0.07457	∫ 0.07492
024	0.3992	0.3954	m	56	m	0.01491	0.07498
124	0.4154	0.4126	vvw	17		—	`
413	0.4200	(0.4397		∫ 82		0.08904	∫ 0.08354
215	0.4000) 0 ∙4407	116	11	u	0.0072) 0∙08363
131	0.4514	0.4520	w	64		_	
420	0.4641	∫ 0·4633	m	∫ 50	2/1	0.08771	∫ 0.08802
224	0 1011	∖ 0·4644	110) 90	w	0 00771) 0.08810
502		(^{0·4830}		í 44			$\int 0.09184$
404	0.4833	0.4838	w	40	w	0.09234	{ 0.09190
106		0.4850		35			0.09201
230		0.4908		(<1)			
511	0.4894	0.4909	w	53			<u> </u>
132		0.4910		l <1			
231	0.5034	0.5038	w	38			
315	0.5275	0.5269	m	80		—	·
206	0.5375	∫ 0.5368	11)	$\int 12$			<u> </u>
033	0.00.0	0.5388	~	(34	_		
133	0.5562	0.5560	vvw	20		_	—
331	0.5874	0.5900	w	48		<u> </u>	

	Ytterbium deuteride				Europium deuteride		
hkl	$(\sin^2 \theta)_o$	$(\sin^2 \theta)_c$	Io	Ic	I _o	$(\sin^2 \theta)_o$	$(\sin^2 \theta)_c$
233	0.6076	0.6077	w	61	—		
600 306	0.6230	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 0.6207\\ 0.6230\\ 0.6230\end{array}\right.$	w	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}17\\29\\-72\end{array}\right.$		_	
522 424 126	0.6697	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 0.6705\\ 0.6712\\ 0.6725\end{array}\right.$	m	66 58	w	0.1268	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 0.1274\\ 0.1274\\ 0.1274\\ 0.1277\end{array}\right.$
611 017	0.6841	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 0.6806\\ 0.6836\end{array}\right.$	vw	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}29\\41\end{array}\right.$	_	_	
333	0.6951	0.6939	vvw	18		<u></u>	
431	0.7094	0.7107	vw	32			
226	0.7232	0.7242	vvw	24	<u></u>		
406	0.7441	0.7436	vvw	12			
$040 \\ 217$	0.7518	∫ 0·7487) 0·7516	w	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 21\\ 80 \end{array} \right.$			
135	0.7619	0.7628	w	78	<u> </u>		
613	0.7806	0.7832	11)	59			
515	0.8002	0.8016	w	76	_	_	
020 326 433	0.8085	0.8008	w		w	0.1533	0.1536 0.1538 0.1546
240 142	0.8154	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 0.8175\\ 0.8178\end{array}\right.$	m	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 49\\96\end{array}\right.$			
531	0.8614	0.8644	vw	63			
702	0.8920	0.8953	vw	53			
506		0.8975		44			0.1707
$\frac{208}{711}$	0.8996	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 0.8994 \\ 0.9032 \end{array}\right.$	m	36 68	w	0.1706	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 0.1709\\ 0.1720\end{array}\right.$
342 044	0.9527	$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0.9555 \\ 0.9563 \end{array} \right.$	8	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 205\\96\end{array}\right.$		_	
417	0.9569	0.9581	8	211			
244	<u> </u>				` w	0.1946	0.1947

Table 1 (cont.)

s: strong; m: medium; w: weak; v: very.

References

- BECK, G. & NOWACKI, W. (1938). Naturwissenschaften, 26, 495.
- BOMMER, H. (1939). Z. anorg. Chem. 241, 273.
- DAANE, A. H., DENNISON, D. H. & SPEDDING, F. H. (1953). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 75, 2272.
- DREYFUS-ALAIN, B. & VIALLARD, R. (1953). C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, 237, 806.
- HOLLEY, C. E., JR., MULFORD, R. N. R., ELLINGER,

F. H., KOEHLER, W. C. & ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1955). J. Phys. Chem. 59, 1226.

- KLEMM, W. & SENFF, H. (1939). Z. anorg. Chem. 241, 259.
- Rossi, A. (1934). Nature, Lond. 133, 174.
- SENFF, H. & KLEMM, W. (1939). Z. anorg. Chem. 242, 92.
- ZINTL, E. & HARDER, A. (1935a). Z. Elektrochem. 41, 33.
- ZINTL, E. & HARDER, A. (1935b). Z. phys. Chem. B, 28, 478.